

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/790,673 | Applicant(s) ANGELOPOULOS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Monique R Jackson | Art Unit 1773 | |

All Participants:

(1) Monique R Jackson.

(2) John A. Evans.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005

Time: 11:58am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

38 & 44

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant's Attorney agreed to the Examiner's Amendment as recited in the attached office action adding the term "at least" in front of the MW range in claim 38, as is consistent with original claim 11 and the further range claimed in claim 44.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)